THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

and

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.LAKSHMANA REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO : 649 of 2004

Dated: 01-08-2005

Between:

J.V.D. Raju, S/o. J.V. Veera Swamy, R/o. Plot No. 31, Chandragiri Colony, Phase-II, Trimulghiry, Secunderabad-15.

..... APPELLANT

AND

1 M/s. Hyderabad Engineering Industries, Balanagar Town Ship, Hyderabad.
2 The Chairman-cum-Presidng Officer, Additional Industrial Tribunal-cum-

Additional Labour Court, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

and

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.LAKSHMANA REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO : 649 of 2004

JUDGMENT : (Per the Hon'ble Smt Justice T.Meena Kumari)

Challenge in this appeal is as to the correctness of the order of the learned single Judge rendered in W.P.No.2731 of 1996 dated 29.12.2003.

Feeling aggrieved by the order of the Additional Industrial Tribunal-cum-Additional Labour Court, Hyderabad in I.D.No.217 of 1993 dated 20.10.1993, the writ petition has been preferred by the appellant.

Having gone through the entire material on record, and after hearing the rival contentions on either side, the learned single Judge dismissed the writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

We have perused the entire material available on record and the order impugned. It ordains that on the premise of misappropriation of funds, the services of the appellant were terminated in the 1st respondent company during pendency of the criminal proceedings. However, the appellant was acquitted in the criminal proceedings. At this stage, it is brought to the notice of this Court that subsequently the appellant had joined in the Railway service.

But, taking into consideration of the fact that his pay is at Rs.250/- per month on a consolidated pay only in the respondent company, and considering the present age of the appellant i.e., 76 years, we feel that it is a fit case where in

granting of an amount of Rs.5,000/ towards compensation to be paid by the 1st respondent Company, would meet the ends of justice. When this proposal was conveyed to the learned counsel on either side, in consultation with the 1st respondent-company, the learned counsel for the 1st respondent has expressed his willingness to pay the same, for which the learned counsel for the appellant has agreed for the same.

Today, the 1st respondent-company has paid an amount of Rs.5,000/- by way of cheque and the appellant has received the same in the open Court.

In view this arrangement towards the final settlement, We feel that no orders need be passed in this writ appeal.

Accordingly, the writ appeal is closed.

_____

T.MEENA KUMARI, J

_____

P.LAKSHMANA REDDY, J

1-8-2005

rkk/Gurc